	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/809,084	DIENER, GLENDON R
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Etienne P. LeRoux.	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas M. Isaacson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2009	Time: <u>11:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 27 and 43		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Permission was requested to incorporate claim 28 in claim 27 and similarly, claim 47 in claim 43. Permission was granted for the above to be done by examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)